

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter/Section	Page
1 INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.1 Proposed Project Requiring Environmental Analysis	1-1
1.2 Downtown Roseville Planning Process	1-1
1.3 Purpose and Intended Uses of this Draft Environmental Impact Report.....	1-2
1.4 Scope of this Draft Environmental Impact Report	1-2
1.5 Agency Roles and Responsibilities	1-3
1.6 Documents Incorporated by Reference	1-6
1.7 Standard Terminology/Acronyms	1-6
2 SUMMARY	2-1
2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.2 Summary Description of the Proposed Project.....	2-1
2.3 Environmental Impacts and Recommended Mitigation Measures	2-2
2.4 Summary of Alternatives.....	2-2
2.5 Areas of Controversy.....	2-3
3 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT.....	3-1
3.1 Location and Setting.....	3-1
3.2 Project Background	3-4
3.3 Project Goals and Objectives.....	3-4
3.4 Project Characteristics	3-5
3.5 Entitlements.....	3-19
4 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION MEASURES.....	4-1
4.0 Approach to the Environmental Analysis.....	4-1
4.1 Land Use Planning.....	4.1-1
4.2 Public Utilities	4.2-1
4.3 Public Services	4.3-1
4.4 Geology and Soils.....	4.4-1
4.5 Aesthetics	4.5-1
4.6 Transportation and Circulation.....	4.6-1
4.7 Cultural Resources.....	4.7-1
4.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	4.8-1
4.9 Biological Resources	4.9-1
4.10 Air Quality	4.10-1
4.11 Noise.....	4.11-1
4.12 Hydrology and Water Quality	4.12-1
5 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	5-1
5.1 Introduction	5-1
5.2 Projects Contributing to Potential Cumulative Impacts	5-2
5.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis	5-6
5.4 Global Climate Change	5-14

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter/Section	Page
6 GROWTH-INDUCING IMPACTS	6-1
6.1 Introduction	6-1
6.2 Growth-Inducing Impacts of the Proposed Project	6-1
7 SIGNIFICANT AND UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	7-1
7.1 Introduction	7-1
7.2 Significant and Unavoidable Adverse Impacts.....	7-1
8 ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS	8-1
8.1 Introduction	8-1
8.2 Alternatives Considered and Removed from Further Consideration.....	8-2
8.3 Description and Analysis of Alternatives	8-3
9 REFERENCES.....	9-1
10 REPORT PREPARATION.....	10-1
11 ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	11-1

Appendices (CD on back cover)

A	Notice of Preparation
B	Traffic Data
C	Programmatic Phase I Environmental Site Assessment for the Downtown Roseville Specific Plan
D	Biological Resources Assessment, Downtown Vernon Street and Historic Old Town Specific Plan Area, Roseville, Placer County, California
E	Downtown Specific Plan Hydraulic Study, City of Roseville
F	Air Quality Data
G	Noise Monitoring

Exhibits

3-1	Regional Location	3-2
3-2	Vicinity Map.....	3-3
3-3	District Boundaries.....	3-7
3-4	Royer and Saugstad Park Master Plan.....	3-13
3-5	Catalyst and Opportunity Site Locations.....	3-18
4.1-1	Existing Land Uses.....	4.1-3
4.2-1	Water	4.2-4
4.2-2	Hydrants	4.2-5
4.2-3	Sewers	4.2-7
4.2-4	Storm Drains.....	4.2-8
4.2-5	Utilities	4.2-9
4.2-6	Gas Facilities	4.2-10

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exhibits (Continued)

4.5-1	Representative Photographs of the Plan Area	4.5-3
4.5-2	Representative Photographs of the Plan Area	4.5-4
4.5-3	Representative Photographs of the Plan Area	4.5-5
4.5-4	Representative Photographs of the Plan Area	4.5-6
4.5-5	Nighttime Photographs at Saugstad Park	4.5-11
4.6-1	Existing and Proposed Transit Routes.....	4.6-4
4.6-2	Existing and Proposed Bicycle Facilities	4.6-6
4.6-3a	Existing No-Project Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations	4.6-10
4.6-3b	Existing No-Project Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations	4.6-11
4.6-4	Existing and Proposed Parking Locations.....	4.6-13
4.6-5	Parking Issues.....	4.6-14
4.6-6a	Existing Plus Project Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations	4.6-23
4.6-6b	Existing Plus Project Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations	4.6-24
4.9-1	Existing Dry Creek Habitats.....	4.9-2
4.9-2	Special-status Plant Occurrences within 10 Miles of the Project Site.....	4.9-6
4.9-3	Special-status Invertebrate Occurrences within 10 Miles of the Project Site.....	4.9-8
4.9-4	Special-status Vertebrate Occurrences within 10 Miles of the Project Site.....	4.9-9
4.10-1	Estimated Cancer Risk Contours (excess cases per one million people)	4.10-11
4.11-1	Typical Noise Levels.....	4.11-3
4.11-2	Ambient Noise Survey Locations.....	4.11-6
4.11-3	Pile Driving Ground-born Vibration Contours.....	4.11-27
4.12-1	Future 100 Year Floodplain.....	4.12-14

Tables

2-1	Summary Table of Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	2-4
3-1	Proposed Residential Land Use Development	3-6
3-2	Proposed Commercial Land Use Development.....	3-9
4.3-1	Roseville City School District and Roseville Joint Unified High School District Enrollment, 2006–2007 School Year	4.3-2
4.3-2	Student Generation Rates for Mixed-Use Residential for Roseville City School District and Roseville Joint Unified High School District.....	4.3-9
4.4-1	“Active” Faults in the Project Vicinity.....	4.4-3
4.6-1	Level of Service Definitions for Signalized Intersections.....	4.6-8
4.6-2	Level of Service Definitions for Unsignalized Intersections.....	4.6-8
4.6-3	Existing No-Project Conditions – Signalized Intersection Levels of Service	4.6-9

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Tables (Continued)

4.6-4	Blocks With High Hourly Parking Space Occupancy Rates on Weekdays	4.6-15
4.6-5	Blocks With High Hourly Parking Space Occupancy Rates on Weekends	4.6-16
4.6-6	Downtown Roseville Specific Plan Trip Generation Estimates by Land Use Type	4.6-22
4.6-7	Existing Plus Project Conditions Intersection Levels of Service	4.6-25
4.6-8	Cumulative (2020) No-Project – Signalized p.m. Citywide Intersection Levels of Service	4.6-26
4.6-9	Cumulative (2020) Plus Project – Signalized p.m. Citywide Intersection Levels of Service	4.6-27
4.6-10	Cumulative (2020) Conditions – Signalized p.m. Citywide Intersections Degrading to LOS D	4.6-27
4.6-11	Cumulative (2020) Conditions – Signalized p.m. Citywide Intersections with a Full Letter Grade Decline	4.6-27
4.6-12	Cumulative (2020) Conditions – Increase in Delay at Citywide Intersections	4.6-34
4.7-1	Pre-1963 Buildings within the Plan Area	4.7-4
4.7-2	Post-1963 Buildings within the Plan Area	4.7-7
4.9-1	Special-status Wildlife and Fish Species with Potential to Occur in the Plan Area	4.9-10
4.10-1	Summary of Annual Ambient Air Quality Data (2004–2006)	4.10-6
4.10-2	Summary of Ambient Air Quality Standards and Western Placer County Designations	4.10-7
4.10-3	Summary of Modeled Project-Generated, Short-Term Construction-Related Daily Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors (Unmitigated and Mitigated)	4.10-28
4.10-4	Summary of Modeled Long- Term Project-Generated, Operation-Related Emissions	4.10-29
4.10-5	Summary of Carbon Monoxide Hot Spot Screening Level Analysis	4.10-34
4.11-1	Ambient Noise Survey Measurements	4.11-7
4.11-2	Summary of Modeled Existing Traffic Noise Levels in the SPA	4.11-8
4.11-3	OPR Land Use Noise Compatibility Guidelines	4.11-10
4.11-4	Maximum Allowable Noise Exposure Transportation Noise Sources	4.11-11
4.11-5	Requirements for an Acoustical Analysis	4.11-12
4.11-6	Performance Standards for Non-Transportation Noise Sources or Projects Affected by Non-Transportation Noise Sources	4.11-12
4.11-7	Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels	4.11-17
4.11-8	Predicted Traffic Noise Levels, Existing Conditions	4.11-19
4.11-9	Predicted Traffic Noise Levels, Cumulative (2020) Conditions	4.11-20
4.11-10	Predicted Peak Hour Parking Structure Noise Levels	4.11-22
4.11-11	Summary of Modeled Cumulative 2020 Plus Project Traffic Noise Levels in the Plan Area	4.11-23
4.11-12	Representative Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment	4.11-25
5.2-1	Related Projects in the City of Roseville	5-3
5.2-2	Related Projects in Placer County	5-5
5.2-3	Projects in the Roseville Regional Area (2020 Horizon Year)	5-6
5.3-1	Cumulative (2020) Plus Project – Signalized PM Citywide Intersection Levels of Service	5-11
5.4-1	Summary of Modeled Construction and Operational Emissions of Greenhouse Gases (Carbon Dioxide)	5-22
8-1	Summary of Proposed Project Impact Levels before and after Mitigation	8-2
8-2	Summary Comparison of Development Under the Proposed Project and the No-Project Alternative	8-4